



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,651	11/28/2000	Malcolm A. DeLeo	360.76A	7707

7590 08/21/2002

JOEL J. HAYASHIDA, ESQ.  
THE CLOROX COMPANY  
P.O. Box 24305  
OAKLAND, CA 94623-1305

EXAMINER

BOYER, CHARLES I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1751

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2002

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
09/724,651

Applicant(s)  
DeLeo et al

Examiner  
Charles Boyer

Art Unit  
1751

NO-7

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 2, 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1751

### DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicants' amendment and response received July 2, 2002.

Claims 1-9 are currently pending.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. All rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and (b) set forth in paper #5 are withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.

3. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burke et al, US 5,932,328.

Burke et al teach hard surface coating compositions (see abstract). An example of such a composition is a cleaning and polishing composition comprising fluoropolymer, nonionic surfactants, triethanolamine, and water (col. 7, example III). Note these compositions are used as

Art Unit: 1751

furniture polishes on surfaces such as ceramics (col. 2, lines 8-15). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

4. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klayder et al, US 6,013,323.

Klayder et al teach silicone wax and protectant compositions (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises perfluoroalkyl methacrylic copolymer (note this is the preferred fluoropolymer of the present application), silicone surfactant, triethanolamine, and water (col. 7, example 2). With respect to the present claims being drawn to hard surface cleaners, the examiner maintains that as the compositions of Klayder et al teach the identical components as applicants' composition, the protectants of Klayder et al will inherently possess cleaning properties. As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

5. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eoga, US 4,409,118.

Eoga teaches a tablet forming cleanser (see abstract). An example of such a composition comprises polytetrafluoroethylene, EDTA, and nonionic surfactant (col. 8, example I). Note these compositions are in tabletted form and are used for denture cleaning (col. 8, lines 45-55). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Art Unit: 1751

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burke et al, US 5,932,328.

Burke et al are relied upon as set forth above. Though quaternary ammonium surfactants are not taught by Burke et al, such surfactants are well known for use in hard surface cleaners and do not add patentable weight to the claims.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Boyer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2524. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-after-final amendments and (703) 872-9311 for after-final amendments.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Charles Boyer



August 16, 2002